

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-11, 19, 26-31, and 33-34 are pending in the application. Claims 1-11, 26-31, 33-34, and 37-39 have been allowed. Claim 19 has been rejected on the grounds of obviousness-type double patenting based on Damien et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,510,725 ("the Damien Patent") in view of Orr III, U.S. Patent No. 6,369,289 ("the Orr Patent"). Dependent Claim 36 (which depends on Claim 19) has been objected to, but the Examiner indicated it would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

In response to the Office Action, Applicant has amended Claim 19 to depend upon Claim 1. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that all of the claims are now patentably distinct in view of the cited references.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in condition for allowance and eventual issuance. Such action is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any further questions or comments which need be addressed in order to obtain allowance, please contact the undersigned attorney at the number listed below.

Acknowledgement of receipt is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Lana M. Knedlik, Reg. No. 42,748
STINSON MORRISON HECKER LLP
1201 Walnut Ste 2900
Kansas City, MO 64106-2150
Telephone: (816) 842-8600
Fax: (816) 691-3495